Case 2:20-cr-00090-TLN Document 33 Filed 01/25/22 Page 1 of 5

1 2 3 4	LAW OFFICE OF TODD D. LERAS Todd D. Leras, CA SBN 145666 455 Capitol Mall, Suite 802 Sacramento, California 95814 (916) 504-3933 toddleras@gmail.com Attorney for Defendant			
5	CLAYTON HOWARD			
6				
7 8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT	COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No.:	2:20-cr-090 TLN	
12	Plaintiff,			
13	VS.		ATION AND ORDER SETTING	
14	CLAYTON HOWARD,		CONFERENCE AND DING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY	
15		TRIAL A	CT	
16	Defendant.	Date:	January 27, 2022	
17		Time: Court:	9:30 a.m. Hon. Troy L. Nunley	
18			y	
19				
20		_		
21				
22				
23				
24	Plaintiff United States of America by and through Assistant United States Attorney			
25	Cameron Desmond, and Attorney Todd Leras on behalf of Defendant Clayton Howard, stipulate			
26				
27	as follows:			
28	ORDER SETTING STATUS CONFERENCE			
	I			

This matter was reassigned from Senior United States District Judge Morrison C.
 England, Jr. by Minute Order (ECF Entry 29) to this Court on November 9, 2021.
 The parties thereafter, pursuant to the terms of the Minute Order, set a status conference before this Court on January 27, 2022. By this stipulation, the defense requests to continue the status conference to March 31, 2022.

- 2. This case involves a felon in possession of ammunition charge stemming from execution of a search warrant in San Joaquin County. The government has provided written reports related to execution of the search warrant to defense counsel as discovery materials.
- 3. The government provided defense counsel and his investigator an opportunity to inspect evidence, in response to a defense request, of various items held at the Sacramento Drug Enforcement Administration Office on December 16, 2021. The defense has further requested the opportunity to inspect additional items being held by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives (ATF). Inspection of the items being held by ATF has not yet been completed. To allow time for such inspection to occur, defense counsel requests to continue this matter to March 31, 2022. The government does not oppose the request.
- 4. Defendant Howard is currently being held in pre-trial detention at the Sacramento County Main Jail. As of the date of this stipulation, the floor and pod where Defendant Howard is housed is closed to visitation due to pandemic mitigation restrictions. These restrictions impede the ability of defense counsel to complete client meetings regarding the results of evidence inspection. It is anticipated that the

counsel and Mr. Howard to be completed.

and March 31, 2022, inclusive, under Local Code T-4.

12

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27 28 5. Given the need for additional time to conduct ongoing and future defense investigation, Defendant Howard requests to exclude time between January 27, 2022

additional time requested will provide an opportunity for such meetings with defense

- 6. Attorney Todd Leras represents and believes that failure to grant additional time as requested would deny Defendant Howard the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, considering the exercise of due diligence.
- 7. Based on the above-stated facts, Defendant Howard requests that the Court find that the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the best interest of the public and the Defendant in a trial within the time prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- 8. For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of January 27, 2022 to March 31, 2022, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), and (B) (iv) [Local Code T-4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at Defendant Howard's request on the basis that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the Defendant in a speedy trial.
- 9. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

ORDER SETTING STATUS CONFERENCE

Case 2:20-cr-00090-TLN Document 33 Filed 01/25/22 Page 4 of 5

1	Assistant U.S. Attorney Cameron Desmond has reviewed this proposed order and		
2	authorized Todd Leras via email to sign it on her behalf.		
3			
4			
5	DATED: January 24, 2022	PHILLIP A. TALBERT	
6		Acting United States Attorney	
7		By <u>/s/ Todd D. Leras for</u> CAMERON DESMOND	
8		Assistant United States Attorney	
9	DATED: January 24, 2022		
10		By <u>/s/ Todd D. Leras</u> TODD D. LERAS	
11		Attorney for Defendant	
12		CLAYTON HOWARD	
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27	ORDER SETTING STATUS		
28	CONFERENCE		
	·		

1 2

ORDER SETTING STATUS CONFERENCE

ORDER

BASED ON THE REPRESENTATIONS AND STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES, it is hereby ordered that the status conference, scheduled for January 27, 2022, is vacated. A new status conference in this matter is scheduled for March 31, 2022, at 9:30 a.m. The Court further finds, based on the representations of the parties and Defendant Howard's request, that the ends of justice served by granting the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the Defendant in a speedy trial. Time shall be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv) and Local Code T-4, to allow necessary attorney preparation taking into consideration the exercise of due diligence for the period from January 27, 2022, up to and including March 31, 2022.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: January 25, 2022

Troy L. Nunley

United States District Judge